Beaklike SnO$_2$ nanorods were synthesized by a vapor–liquid–solid approach using Au as a catalyst. The nanorods grow along the [10$\bar{1}$] direction and the beak is formed by switching the growth direction to [11$\bar{2}$] through controlling the growth conditions at the end of the synthesis. The photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of the nanorods exhibits visible light emission with a peak at 602 nm. The field-emission (FE) properties of the nanorods have been measured to exhibit a turn-on field of 5.8 V$\mu$m$^{-1}$. A comparative study of FE measurements between SnO$_2$ nanorods with uniform diameters and these beaklike nanorods suggests that the shape and curved tips are important factors in determining the FE properties.

1. Introduction

One-dimensional (1D) and quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) nanostructures are attracting a great deal of attention due to their unique properties and novel applications.$^{[1,2]}$ Oxides are the basis of smart and functional materials that have tunable properties and important technological applications. Functional oxides have two structural characteristics: cations with mixed valence states, and anions with deficiencies (vacancies). By varying either or both of these characteristics, the electrical, optical, magnetic, and chemical properties can be modified, giving the possibility of fabricating smart devices that utilize the semiconductivity, superconductivity, ferroelectricity, and/or magnetism offered by the oxides.$^{[3]}$ SnO$_2$ is an n-type semiconducting oxide with a wide bandgap ($E_g =$ 3.6 eV at 300 K) and well known for its potential applications in dye-based solar cells,$^{[4]}$ semiconductors,$^{[5]}$ photoconductors,$^{[6]}$ and gas sensors.$^{[7–9]}$ A variety of 1D and quasi-1D functional nanostructures of SnO$_2$ have been successfully fabricated, including nanobelts, nanowires, nanotubes, nano-box-beams, and nanodiskettes.$^{[5–13]}$ The promise that nanostructures may dramatically improve the desired properties for many applications has stimulated great enthusiasm.

In the present study, the optical applications of SnO$_2$ nanorods with a beaklike growth front are explored. The photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of such nanorods exhibits a strong emission peak at 602 nm. The field-emission properties of the SnO$_2$ nanorods were measured for the first time, showing a turn-on field of 5.8 V$\mu$m$^{-1}$. The effect of shape of SnO$_2$ nanostructures on the field emission properties has been fully investigated. Theoretical calculation has been carried out to find out whether there is a correlation between surface energy change and the morphological evolution.
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2. Results and Discussion

The first set of samples were cooled down to room temperature with a 150 sccm Ar flow and a 12.5 sccm oxygen flow, and another set of samples were cooled to room temperature with only a 150 sccm Ar flow. The structure of the as-grown nanorods was determined by XRD. As shown in Figure 1, all of the diffraction peaks for both sets of samples can be ascribed to the tetragonal rutile SnO$_2$ structure with lattice constants of $a = 0.4742$ nm and $c = 0.3182$ nm, consistent with the standard data file (ICDD-PDF41-1445). As shown in Figure 2a, a typical low-magnification SEM image indicates that the as-synthesized products consist of a large quantity of 1D nanostructures. The stems of the SnO$_2$ nanorods have diameters of 85–400 nm and lengths up to $\approx 20$ μm. The beaklike nanostructures are typically 100–700 nm in length. A representative medium-magnification SEM image of several curved SnO$_2$ nanorods, as shown in Figure 2b and c, reveals that their geometrical shape is beaklike towards the growth front, which is distinct from other previously reported SnO$_2$ nanostructures.$^{[5–13]}$ On the other hand, the stems of the curved nanorods appear to be uniform in size.

The nanostructures were further characterized with TEM and HRTEM. Figure 3a shows a typical TEM image of a single SnO$_2$ nanorod with a diameter of 85 nm. The corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Figure 3b) confirms that the phase of the beaklike nanorods is of the tetragonal rutile structure. Figure 3c is a HRTEM image from the outlined region marked in Figure 3a, which indicates that the nanorods are single crystalline and free of defects. The measured lattice spacing of 0.33 nm and 0.23 nm are consistent with the $d$ values of the (110) and (111) planes. The growth direction was obtained from analysis of the diffraction pattern using the concept of the reciprocal lattice. From both the diffraction pattern and the atomic image, the lattice planes of (201) and (111) are obtained. The corresponding normal directions $[h_1k_1l_1]$ and $[h_2k_2l_2]$ are $[h_1k_1l_1] = [202.3] \approx [101]$ and $[h_2k_2l_2] = [112.3] \approx [112]$. In other words, the [101] and [112] directions are perpendicular to the (201) and (111) planes, respectively. (see Supporting Information)

The samples cooled to room temperature with only a 150 sccm Ar flow show a slightly different morphology. As seen in Figure 4a, the low-magnification SEM image shows that the as-synthesized products consist of a large quantity of SnO$_2$ nanorods. High-magnification SEM shows that the nanorods have no beaklike structure and that the Au catalysts are at the side surface rather than at the very growth front, as indicated in the inset in Figure 4a. The nanorod grows along a direction close to [101] without subsequent growth along [112] (Figure 4b). The growth of beaklike nanostructures was initiated with oxygen that remained in the furnace but could not be sustained since the oxygen flow was turned off during the cooling-down period. The presence of Au catalysts at the side surface indicates that the growth is at a stage where the beaklike tip was just beginning to grow.
Theoretical calculations have been carried out to ascertain whether there is a correlation between surface energy change and morphological evolution. The surface energy, $E_{surf}$, is defined as the excess surface free energy per unit area of a particular crystal face, $E_{surf}(hkl)$. The variation of this parameter with surface orientation determines the three-dimensional equilibrium crystal shape.\(^{[14]}\) The equilibrium shape can be directly derived from the surface energies by the Wulff construction,\(^{[15]}\) in which the distance to the center of a crystal of each face is proportional to its surface energy. Among the various planes of the rutile structure of SnO$_2$, the surface energies of the (20T) and (1T1) planes are about 1.63 and 2.21 (J m$^{-2}$), respectively.\(^{[16–18]}\) For the formation of facets B of orientation $(h_1k_1l_1)$, and energy (per unit area) $E_{surf}^B$, on a surface A of orientation $(h_2k_2l_2)$, and energy $E_{surf}^A$ depending on the sign of the formation energy:

$$\Delta G = E_{surf}^A(h_1k_1l_1) \cos \theta - E_{surf}^B(h_2k_2l_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\theta$ is the angle between the A and B planes. The factor $\cos \theta$ takes into account the change in surface area if facets were formed. The contribution of edges and vertices are neglected. If the above expression is positive ($\Delta G > 0$), surface A is not thermodynamically stable and the growth of facet B on A is feasible. On the other hand, if it is negative ($\Delta G < 0$), facets B cannot be formed.\(^{[19]}\) If one considers the stability of the (20T) surface, and the formation of a (1T1) facet, which makes an angle of 34.5° with the (20T) plane, the above expression becomes:

$$\Delta G = 1.63 \times \cos 34.5° - 2.21 \approx -0.87 \text{ (J m}^{-2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Thus the (20T) surface appears to be stable with respect to the formation of microscopic (1T1) facets, which is consistent with what had previously been found for stoichiometric SnO$_2$ nanoribbon growth.\(^{[10]}\) It is conjectured that as the sample was cooled down without a sufficient supply of oxygen, there is a change in composition, bonding state, and/or surface relaxation, which may influences the surface energy. In addition, the variation in catalyst/SnO$_2$ interface energy may also play a role in the growth of the beaklike structure. Further investigations are required to clarify the growth mechanism.

Room-temperature PL measurements (Figure 5) show that the beaklike nanorods, in comparison with SnO$_2$ nanorods of uniform diameter (PL peak: 620 nm), exhibit a slightly blue-shifted PL peak, centered at 602 nm. The strong PL peak might be related to the crystalline defects induced during the growth. The oxygen vacancies interact with interfacial tin vacancies, and lead to the formation of a considerable amount of trapped states within the bandgap, which results in a strong PL signal. Similar results were also observed for SnO$_2$ nanobelts.\(^{[13]}\) On the other hand, direct comparison of the two sets of data cannot lead to the conclusion that the SnO$_2$ nanorods possess more oxygen deficiencies since the volume fraction of the nanorods are different and the defect structure of the beaklike tips and the main rods may also vary.

Nanorods are ideal objects for electron field emission (FE). Figure 6 shows the FE current density as a function of the applied field in a current density–electric field ($J$–$E$) plot and a $\ln(J/E)$–$1/E$ plot of the SnO$_2$ nanostructures. Comparing the $J$–$E$ plots, all of the SnO$_2$ samples have excellent turn-on voltage values. The turn-on field (defined as the electric field required to generate a current density of 0.01 mA cm$^{-2}$) for the SnO$_2$ nanorods with uniform diameters (Figure 4a and b) was found to be about 6.4 V \(\mu\)m$^{-1}$, as shown in Figure 6a. Measurements on the beaklike SnO$_2$ nanorods (Figure 6b) show a turn-on field of 5.8 V \(\mu\)m$^{-1}$. Although these values of turn-on field are higher than the best
To be about 493.6 and 1402.9 for SnO2 nanorods of uniform diameter. As a result, the beaklike SnO2 nanorods possess a much higher β value than the SnO2 nanorods of uniform diameter. These comparative results have demonstrated that SnO2 nanorods with a high aspect ratio possess excellent FE performance.

3. Conclusions

In summary, beaklike SnO2 nanorods were fabricated by the VLS method. The beaklike structure was formed as a result of controlling the growth conditions towards the end of the synthesis. Based on TEM characterization and theory of Wulff construction, it was concluded that the nanorods grow along a direction close to [10\overline{1}] and the beak is formed by changing the growth direction to approximately [11\overline{2}]20. Both PL and FE measurements have shown that the beaklike nanostructures lead to superb physical properties. The PL spectrum of such nanorods exhibits a strong emission peak at 602 nm. From determining the slope of the ln(J/E2)/E plot, the field enhancement factor β is determined to be about 1400, and the turn-on field is 5.8 V μm−1 (in comparison, nanorods of uniform size without beaklike structure show a field enhancement factor β is 494 and a turn-on field of 6.4 V μm−1). This clearly shows that beaklike SnO2 nanorods have a better FE performance than nanorods of uniform diameter. In addition, the shape and curved tips of the nanorods are important for determining the FE properties.

4. Experimental Section

Single crystal, 3–5 Ω·cm, phosphorous-doped Si(001) wafers were used in this study. The silicon wafers were cleaned chemically by a standard RCA (Radio Corporation of America) cleaning process, which is the industry standard for removing contaminants from wafers before loading into an electron-beam evaporation system. The RCA cleaning procedure has three major steps used sequentially:

2) Oxide strip: removal of a thin silicon dioxide layer where metallic contaminants may accumulate as a result of step 1), using a H2O:HF (50:1) solution;

The tin oxide nanorods were grown by the vapor–liquid–solid process. A 2-nm-thick Au film was deposited at ≈5 × 10−3 Torr onto a Si substrate at room temperature, which serves as the catalyst for growing the nanowires. Then, Sn particles (0.5 g) were placed in an alumina boat. The boat was positioned in a quartz tube that was inserted into a horizontal alumina tube furnace, and the Si substrates with the Au catalyst layer were placed downstream at ≈20 mm distance from the Sn particles. After evacuation with a rotary pump to a pressure of 10−3 Torr, the furnace was heated from room temperature to 1080 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min−1 under an Ar flow of 150 sccm. The samples were held at 1080 °C under a 150 sccm Ar carrier gas.

Figure 6. Field-emission current density versus electric field (J–E) for a) SnO2 nanorods with a uniform diameter, and b) beaklike SnO2 nanorods (the labels “1st” and “5th” signify that the data recorded were collected after the first and fifth experiments of a series, respectively). The insets show the corresponding Fowler–Nordheim relationship by way of a ln(J/E)−1/E plot.
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and a 12.5 sccm oxygen gas flow for 1 h, and the chamber pressure was kept at 6.4 Torr. Two different types of samples have been prepared by changing the cooling conditions while the synthesis conditions were kept the same. The first set of samples was cooled down to room temperature with a 150-sccm Ar flow and a 12.5-sccm oxygen flow. The other set of samples was cooled to room temperature with only a 150-sccm Ar flow.

After the growth process, the resulting products were collected for phase identification by using grazing incidence X-ray diffractometry (GIXRD) with a fixed incident angle of 0.5°. The substrate-bound nanorods were mechanically scraped and sonicated in ethanol and then deposited on carbon-coated copper grids for transmission electron microscope (TEM) characterization. Morphological studies of the SnO2 nanostructures were performed with a JEOL 2010 TEM operating at 200 kV and a JEOL JSM-6500 field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were obtained by using a JEOL 2010 TEM operating at 200 kV and a JEOL JEM-3000F field-emission TEM, with a point-to-point resolution of 0.17 nm, operating at 300 kV. The PL properties of the synthesized nanorods were studied at room temperature using a He-Cd laser in the spectral range of 350–800 nm with a wavelength of 325 nm as the excitation source. The FE measurements were carried out under vacuum (1×10⁻⁷ Torr) using a spherical stainless steel probe (1 mm in diameter) as an anode. The emission current is recorded on the level of nanoamperes. The distance between the anode and the samples was accurate description of the relationship between the turn-on field and the distance between the anode and the samples was described in a previous work.[29]
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